1 THE HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, NO. 2:19-cr-00160-RAJ 10 11 Plaintiff, ORDER ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION 12 TO APPLY JURY RIGHTS AND THE v. REASONABLE DOUBT STANDARD 13 TO EVIDENTIARY HEARING VYSHAWN MALICK WARR, 14 15 Defendant. 16 17 THIS MATTER comes before the Court upon defendant's Motions to Apply Jury 18 Rights and the Reasonable Doubt Standard to his upcoming evidentiary revocation 19 hearing scheduled for August 5, 2022. Dkt. 85. Having considered the motion, the 20 government's response, and the files and pleadings herein, the Court DENIES the 21 defendant's motion. 22 Mr. Warr is currently scheduled for an evidentiary hearing on August 5, 2022, 23 regarding the allegation that he violated a condition of supervised release by failing to 24 "obey all laws by possessing a firearm." Dkt. 66. The defendant's motion seeks the 25 determination of whether he has committed this offense by a jury utilizing the reasonable 26 doubt standard. The defendant admits that his position is contrary to the applicable 27 statute and Ninth Circuit case authority. Dkt. 85. Specifically, 18 U.S.C. § 3583, United 28

1	States v. Oliver, F.4th, 2022 WL 2899265 (9th Cir., July 22, 2022) and United
2	States v. Henderson, 998 F.3d 1071 (9th Cir. 2021) all dictate that the defendant's
3	motion is without merit and should be denied. At best, the Court treats this motion as the
4	defendant's request to preserve his objection to the applicable standard that the Court wil
5	apply at the evidentiary hearing. Consequently, the Court will make the determination of
6	whether the defendant committed the violation applying the preponderance of evidence
7	standard.
8	For these reasons, the defendant's motion (Dkt. 85) is DENIED .
9	
10	DATED this 1st day of August, 2022.
11	Qil la h
12	Richard A Jones
13	HON. RICHARD A. JONES
14	United States District Judge
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	